UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,077	04/07/2006	Teruyuki Minami	03284.0059	8664
	7590 12/24/200 ENDERSON, FARAE	9 BOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER	
LLP			WILLIAMS, MAURICE L	
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/575,077	MINAMI, TERUYUKI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		MAURICE WILLIAMS	3611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Se</u>	entember 2009				
•		action is non-final.				
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice ander E	x parte quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, 40	0.0.210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-11 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-11,13-15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
اتا(۱۰						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
''/	The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex-	animer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/575,077 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 2, 3, 7-11, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasuga et al. (US 5,794,979).

Kasuga discloses a first and second parallel fuel tank (3, 4), wherein the second tank is lower than the first (Figs. 1-3);

a longitudinal movement stopping device (11) redirecting the longitudinal movement of the first tank;

a weakened portion (**19**) fixed to bottom of support structure (see Fig. 1), which has a first and second transverse width (Figs. 1-3 show a tapered in at the connection portion, resulting in a transverse width shorter than the rest of the support).

If a sufficient force is provided to the support, the weakened portion will fail.

left and right rockers (13, 14) which are crossrails extending in the vehicle transverse direction and comprise a falling out preventing structure which are part of the subframe and prevent the fuel tank from falling out, as the longitudinal direction between the rails is smaller than the diameter of the fuel tank (Figs. 1-3).

The positioning of the fuel tank shows that a longitudinal movement of the tank may be caused due to a vehicle collision, while the vehicle is moving forward.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,077 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Tank (3) sits partially above second tank (4); therefore a downward force on the upper tank would translate down to the second tank.

Kasuga also discloses fuel successively used from the first and second tank (Fig. 4; via supply lines **52** and **53**).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kasuga. Kasuga discloses as discussed above, but does not directly disclose a third fuel tank. However, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include an additional fuel tank in order to provide additional reserve fuel for the vehicle.

### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,077 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 9/9/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the previous reference did not disclose a tank support having a first and second transverse width; however, that portion of the claim is provided on the currently amended claim, and has been addressed above. Additionally examiner contends that when a large enough (predetermined) force is applied to the support, it will break.

### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAURICE WILLIAMS whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/575,077 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

(571)272-4263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 5

p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (571) 272-6651. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Maurice Williams/ Examiner, Art Unit 3611

Maurice Williams

Examiner

Art Unit 3611

MLW

December 21, 2009

/LESLEY D MORRIS/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3611